UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,471	01/15/2004	Min-Chul Suh	1514.1039	4143
	7590 06/26/2007 /EN & BUI, LLP		EXAMINER	
1400 EYE STR		GARRETT, DAWN L		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1774	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10757471	1/15/04	SUH, MIN-CHUL	1514.1039	
			EXAMINER	
STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP 1400 EYE STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			Dawn Garrett	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
			1774	20070622

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Evaminar Initiated Intension Summars	10/757,471	SUH, MIN-CHUL				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Dawn Garrett	1774				
All Participants:	Il Participants: Status of Application:					
(1) <u>Dawn Garrett</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Randall Shivla</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 22 June 2007	Time:					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Freshold of the provide a brief description:	nt's representative)					
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed: none						
Claims discussed: 7 and 12	•					
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.	•					
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:				
Part III.						
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 						
0.4		•				
Jaun Sunet						
PRIMARY EXAMINER						
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's representative on June 21, 2007 to ask where support may be found for the "R" variables in claims 7 and 12 and what their intended meaning is. When the examiner contacted applicant's representative on June 22, 2007 applicant's representative stated applicant submits "R" has an accepted meaning in the art as an organic substituent. No agreement was reached between the examiner and applicant's representative...